

mhdecoursey . <mhdecoursey@gmail.com>

Settlement offer to Windermere

1 message

Gabel, Andrew J. <GabelA@lanepowell.com>

Thu, Oct 30, 2008 at 11:59 AM

To: Mark DeCoursey <mhdecoursey@gmail.com>, Carol DeCoursey <cdecoursey@gmail.com> Cc: "Nourse, Brent L." <NourseB@lanepowell.com>, "Gabel, Andrew J." <GabelA@lanepowell.com>

Mark and Carol,

Please read the attached letter and call Brent. Brent is probably going to call you in a few minutes.

Thanks,

Andrew J. Gabel



Attomey at Law, Bio | VCard Lane Powell PC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4100 Seattle, WA 98101-2338

Direct: 206.223.7026 www.lanepowell.com

Lane Powell, one of Washington's "Best Workplaces" (Puget Sound Business Journal, 2008), and one of the "100 Best Companies to Work For in Oregon" (Oregon Business magazine, 2008).

This message is private or privileged. If you are not the person for whom this message is intended, please delete it and notify me immediately, and please do not copy or send this message to anyone else.

Please be advised that, if this communication includes federal tax advice, it cannot be used for the purpose of avoiding tax penalties unless you have expressly engaged us to provide written advice in a form that satisfies IRS standards for "covered opinions" or we have informed you that those standards do not apply to this communication.





Brent L. Nourse 206.223.7963 nourseb@lanepowell.com

October 30, 2008

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE CONFIDENTIAL

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Mr. and Mrs. Mark DeCoursey 8209 172nd Avenue NE Redmond, WA 98052-3902

Re: Offer of settlement to Windermere

Dear Mr. and Mrs. DeCoursey:

We are very pleased that you have expressed your happiness with our handling of the trial. Although we feel we presented the best possible case to the jury, we have to take a practical and realistic look at your chances of recovery, amount of recovery and ability to collect without a costly and time-consuming appeal. In light of these factors, we believe it is in your best interest to offer a settlement to Windermere in the amount of \$250,000.

It is very likely the jury may come back with a verdict lower than Empire's cost of repair. Mr. Dealy, of Empire Construction, testified that the cost to repair included a 55% markup for overhead and profit. The jury could easily reduce that markup by half especially with juror 7's experience in the construction industry. Moreover, there is a possibility that the judge will offset any jury verdict against the settlement from HIH.

In light of the evidence that went to the jury, Windermere very well may accept an offer in the \$250,000 range. If they do accept this settlement, you will not have to worry about any costly and time-consuming appeal. We are certain that Windermere plans to appeal this verdict if they are found liable.

Time is of the essence so please consider making this offer and please call Brent Nourse to discuss as soon as possible.

Mr. and Mrs. Mark DeCoursey October 30, 2008 Page 2

Very truly yours,

LANE POWELL PC

Brent L. Nourse

BLN:AJG

cc: Mr. Andrew J. Gabel 123057.0001/1617123.1